

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/752,642	01/07/2004	Naofumi Nakamura	790001-2042	4109
20999 FROMMER I	7590 09/26/2008 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	VENUE- 10TH FL.		CHU, CHRIS C	
NEW YORK,	NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/752,642	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRIS C. CHU	2815	

	CHRIS C. CHU	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods:	of the final -circlin-						
M The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.  no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol><li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li></ol>							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below);					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	to rolling appear by materially roll	tuoning or our purying a	10 100000 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 3, 11 and 12</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 11 and 12.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 - 10, 13 and 14.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

/Chris C. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues "[A]pplicants wish to point out that the specification of the present application supports the structure recited in claims 1 and 11. In particular structure where the metal wiring materials of the via contact contains an additive which is not contained in the metal wiring materials of the two wiring layers ... Applicants submit that the application as claimed would not be obvious in view of Jan because the application supports having an additive of the via contact not being diffusing into the metal wiring layers. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Jan does not teach or disclose the features of claims 1 and 11." This argument is not persuasive because claims 1 and 11 onto specifically claim any barrier layer between the via contact and the metal wiring layers to prevent the diffusion of the additive as shown in Fig. 6 of Applicant's drawing. Thus, the metal wiring layers to the claimed structure of claims 1 and 11 in therently include the additive within the metal wiring layers by diffusion from the via contact near the contact areas as shown in Fig. 9 of Jan reference. In other words, mere recognition of latent properties or additional advantages in the prior art does not render nonobvious an otherwise known invention. Therefore, a reasonable interpretation of the Ilmitation "wherein the metal wiring of the via contact contains an additive which is not contained in the metal wiring materials of the at least two wiring layers."

For the above reasons, the rejection is maintained.